







Supplementary Material S3

Assessment Area Profiles¹

1. Assessment areas are in order from northernmost to the tip of Cape Cod, counter-clockwise along the Massachusetts Coast.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹







Ecosystem Services Profile²



1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
 2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance).
 Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹







Ecosystem Services Profile²



1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
 2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance).
 Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹







Ecosystem Services Profile²



1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
 2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance).
 Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹







Ecosystem Services Profile²



1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
 2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance).
 Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²









1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²







1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.

Beneficiary Profile¹

Ecosystem Services Profile²



1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).

2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.