


Supplementary Material S3 Assessment Area Profiles<sup>1</sup>




For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>



For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>




For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>



For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>

**Beneficiary Profile<sup>1</sup> Ecosystem Services Profile<sup>2</sup>** Aquaculture & Subsistence Coastal Habitats 0.24 **Upper North Shore Upper North Shore** Artists & Inspirational Tidal Flats **GLOUCESTER HARBOR GLOUCESTER HARBOR** Commercial Harvesters/Fisheries Seagrass 0.2 Transportation & Shipping Salt Marsh Farmers & Other Agriculture Rocky Shore 0.16 Water & Energy Utilities 🗖 Fish Habitat Benthic Habitats Commercial & Industrial 0.12



Trappers

Generators

0

Water Movement/f

Edible Water Qua Aesthet Multiple Ecosystem Components Regulating Services in General

Biro

in Gener in Gener

Water

Flora in Gener Charismatic Flor Ily Important Flor diment Regulatic rtant Fish/Shellfis

Flora Commur Risk of Extreme Weather Eve Ornamental Natural Mater

0

Fish/Shel

Commercially Imp Soil & Sediment nercial Important Fi Charismatic Fi

Quar

Soil Fauna in

Climate & Carbon

Charismatic Fish/Shellfish Comm

Insects & Invertebra Fauna Commu Edible B

Culturally Important Bird Comm

Rare Fish

Commercially Import Natural Materials

Rare F

Atmosphere/M Soil Charismati 9.

Jevelopment

Open Land for

đ

Transporters of Goods Iransporters of Goods Min Energy Generators

Recreational Hun

Spiritual/Ceremonial

r Extractors -orester

Public Energy ( Commercial Timber/Fiber Agricultural

Public

Private Water Plant Op Food/Medicinal Su

Water

Livestock Grazers

Aquaculti Id Coast G

Military and C Commercial/Industrial

Frequency

0.18

0.15

0.12

Owners

Residential Property O Public Water/Dam Ope rcial Food Extractors/Fis Recreational in G Recreational B

Commercial

Research

Experience

General Government/ People Who Care

Educators/S

idential


Boaters

General Commercial Transportation

Lea General Commercial & Indu


People

Transporters of People Food Pickers/Gatherer Nonspectific Inspirational Humanity & bublic Health Waders & Swimmers & Divers Agriculture in General




1. For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents). 2. For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.







For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>



For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>


## **Ecosystem Services Profile<sup>2</sup>**






For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>






For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>




For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>





For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>

# **Ecosystem Services Profile<sup>2</sup>**













For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>









For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>







For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>




# **Ecosystem Services Profile<sup>2</sup>**






For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>



For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>



For each assessment area, mean relative frequency of each beneficiary subclass across all documents. Colors indicate the relative contributing weight of each ecosystem type to the overall mean score (weighted by ecosystem frequency in documents).
For each assessment area, mean relative final score of ecosystem services attributes averaged across all documents. Colors indicate the relative importance to different beneficiaries contributing to the overall summed score (weighted by beneficiary importance). Additional ecosystem services attributes not shown had scores <0.005.</li>

## **Ecosystem Services Profile<sup>2</sup>**

