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Table 1. Studies included in the primary group with the number of soils, non-control treatments and total number of samples in each study.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Reference | soils | treatments | samples |
| Basta et al. (2001)\* | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Hettiarachchi et al. (2001)\* | 5 | 5 | 84 |
| Yang et al. (2001) | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| Geebelen et al. (2003) | 10 | 1 | 60 |
| Tang et al. (2004) | 1 | 8 | 27 |
| Brown et al. (2005)\* | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| Codling (2007) | 2 | 1 | 12 |
| Yoon et al. (2007) | 2 | 5 | 36 |
| Cao et al. (2008) | 2 | 3 | 24 |
| Kilgour et al. (2008) | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| Zupančič et al. (2012) | 1 | 6 | 21 |
| Beyer et al. (2016) | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| Obrycki et al. (2016) | 2 | 2 | 84 |
| Sanderson et al. (2016)\* | 4 | 2 | 36 |
| Obrycki et al. (2017)\* | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Gu et al. (2020) | 2 | 6 | 56 |
| Alasmary (2020) | 2 | 19 | 63 |

\*Corresponding authors provided additional information
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Figure 1. Flowchart of literature search and eligible records in this meta-analysis
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Figure 2. Distribution of A) absolute change in IVBA Pb (%) and B) relative change in IVBA Pb (%) for soils in each analysis group.

Table 2. Summary of pooled treatment effect via random effects model for each analysis group. I2 is percentage of difference due real differences, and t is the between study standard deviation. The value of t is in the same units as the treatment effects.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Group | n | Absolute change | | | | |  | Relative change | | | | |
| I2 | t | p-value | Average | 95% confidence interval |  | I2 | t | p-value | Average | 95% confidence interval |
| Primary | 40 | 98.6% | 12.2% | <0.05 | -11.4% | [-15.4% ,-7.4%] |  | 95.7% | 15.4% | <0.05 | -21.4% | [-26.7% , -16.1%] |
| Secondary | 36 | 96.7% | 16.4% | <0.05 | -15.6% | [-21.4% ,-9.7%] |  | 94.3% | 22.4% | <0.05 | -32.3% | [-40.5%, -24.2%] |
| Secondary (no field studies) | 28 | 96.9% | 15.6% | <0.05 | -12.4% | [-18.7% , -6.1%] |  | 94.7% | 20.2% | <0.05 | -27.2% | [-35.4%, -18.9%] |
| Secondary (only field studies) | 8 | 88.4% | 15.0% | <0.05 | -28.5% | [-41.8%, -15.2%] |  | 87.4% | 22.9% | <0.05 | -53.6% | [-74.4%,-32.9%] |
| EPA Method 1340 | 23 | 98.6% | 11.4% | <0.05 | -5.6% | [-10.7% ,-0.6%] |  | 98.0% | 17.2% | <0.05 | -8.3% | [-16.4%, -0.8%] |
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Figure 3. Fully random effects forest plot for AC and RC grouped by contaminant source. Squares represent the mean difference for a soil and error bars are 95% CI. Diamonds represent the 95% CI of the mean difference between the control and treated soil for each subgroup and the overall treatment effect.
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Figure 4. Fully random effects forest plot for AC and RC by amendment type. Squares represent average treatment effect for each subgroup with error bars denoting the 95% CI. Diamonds represent the 95% CI of the overall treatment effects.
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Figure 5. Fully random effects forest plot for AC and RC by acid factor. Squares represent average treatment effect for each subgroup with error bars denoting the 95% CI. Diamonds represent the 95% CI of the overall treatment effects.
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Figure 6. Single linear meta-regression for AC by A) incubated pH, B) control IVBA Pb, and C) total Pb and RC by D) incubated pH, E) control IVBA Pb, and F) total Pb
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Figure 7. Random effects forest plot of AC and RC for soils from Jasper County, MO. Squares represent the mean difference for a soil and error bars are 95% CI. Diamonds represent the 95% CI for summary treatment effects.
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Table S1. Summary of references included in each analysis group

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Reference | Analysis group |
| Alasmary 2020 | Primary |
| Barth et al. 2005 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Basta et al. 2001 | Primary |
| Beyer et al. 2016 | Primary |
| Beyer et al. 2016 | Secondary |
| Beyer et al. 2016 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Bosso et al. 2008 | Excluded |
| Brown et al. 2005 | Primary |
| Brown et al. 2005 | Secondary |
| Brown et al. 2007 | Secondary |
| Cai et al. 2017 | Secondary |
| Cao et al. 2009 | Primary |
| Codling 2007 | Primary |
| Cui et al. 2010 | Secondary |
| Cui et al. 2017 | Secondary |
| Geebelen et al. 2003 | Primary |
| Gu et al. 2020 | Primary |
| He et al. 2013 | Secondary |
| Hettiarachchi and Pierzynski 2002 | Secondary |
| Hettiarachchi et al. 2000 | Secondary |
| Hettiarachchi et al. 2001 | Primary |
| Hettiarachchi et al. 2001 | Secondary |
| Juhasz et al. 2016 | Secondary |
| Juhasz et al. 2016 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Kastury et al. 2019a | EPA Method 1340 |
| Kastury et al. 2019b | EPA Method 1340 |
| Kilgour et al. 2008 | Primary |
| Li et al. 2017 | Secondary |
| Li et al. 2017 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Madrid et al. 2008 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Mele et al. 2015 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Moseley et al. 2008 | Secondary |
| Moseley et al. 2008 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Obrycki et al. 2016 | Primary |
| Obrycki et al. 2016 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Obrycki et al. 2017 | Primary |
| Park et al. 2011 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Rizwan, et al. 2016 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Sanderson et al. 2016 | Primary |
| Scheckel et al. 2005 | Secondary |
| Scheckel et al. 2005 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Schwab et al. 2006 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Seshadri et al. 2017 | EPA Method 1340 |
| Sharma, et al. 2011 | Secondary |
| Sonmez and Pierzynski 2005 | Secondary |
| Tang and Yang 2012 | Secondary |
| Tang et al. 2009 | Secondary |
| Tang et al. 2004 | Primary |
| Yang and Mosby 2006 | Secondary |
| Yang et al. 2001 | Primary |
| Yang et al. 2002 | Secondary |
| Yoon et al. 2007 | Primary |
| Zupančič et al. 2012 | Primary |